

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.			
08/714,987	09/17/96	SHARKEY		Н	17616	-705	
_			7 [<u>_</u>	EXAMIN	R	٦
	·	QM12/0212	, –				
PAUL DAVIS WILSON SONST		4 & ROSATI		SHAY " [ART UN		PAPER NUMBER] .
650 PAGE MIL	L ROAD				•	2	2
FALO ALTO CA		g vine start and the		3739 Date Mail	ED:		<u> </u>
					02711	2701	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



UNITED STATED DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER.OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. SERIAL NUMBER

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

This application has been examined	Responsive to communication filed on Servante S, voca	I This action is made final.
	_ 1	
shortened statutory period for response to		ays from the date of this letter.
allure to respond within the period for responding	onse will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 13	3
et I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT	T(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:	• .
1. Notice of References Cited by Ex	aminer, PTO-892. 2. Notice re Patent Drawing, PT	O-948
3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant,	_	
5. Information on How to Effect Dra	—	
a Li monador on now to Enocy bra	wing offangos, 170-1717.	
rt II SUMMARY OF ACTION		
1. 1 Claims 45-73		are pending in the application.
Of the observed states	are	
Of the above, claims	are	Withdrawn from Consideration.
2. P Claims /- 44	:	have been cancelled
3. Claims		are allowed.
45-73	••	are releated
Claims 32		are rejected.
5. 🔲 Claims		are objected to.
<u> </u>		
B. Claims	are subject to restrict	ion or election requirement.
7 This application has been filed wi	th informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for exa	aminetian nurnases
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	in mornia drawings and or o.r., it. 1.00 which are deceptable for our	animation perpesses.
8. D Formal drawings are required in r	esponse to this Office action.	
	ings have been received on Under 37 C	50 4044
	eptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948).	.F.H. 1.84 these drawings
are in acceptable in not acce	splanic (see explanation of Notice to Patent Drawing, P10-940).	
The proposed additional or subst	itute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been	approved by the
examiner. disapproved by th		_ approved by ano
	,	
The proposed drawing correction	, filed on, has been approved. disappro	oved (see explanation).
A Changed adament is made of the	claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has Deen re	and the second
		Selved Ed Hot Decit teceived
☐ been filed in parent application	on, serial no; filed on;	
. 🗖 🖰		A LANCE CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR
	be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	to the ments is closed in
accordance with the practice this	ar Ex parte duaye, 1905 C.D. 11, 455 C.G. 215.	
4. Other		
	and the second of the second o	
	•	
	.•	
	76 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 1	
A. C.	The state of the s	
	EXAMINER'S ACTION	
Total Control of the	EVVIIII O VOLIOI	

✓ Application/Control Number: 08/714,987

Art Unit: 3739

The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 30-58 been renumbered 45-73.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 45-47 51, 52, 56, and 59-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Makower.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 53-55, 57, and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Makower in combination with Makower et al..

Art Unit: 3739

Makower et al teaches a device such as claimed wherein the energy applicator is an optical fiber.

Makower et al teach the equivalence of thermistors, thermocouples, and fiber sensors and of microwave, R.F, resistive and laser applictors for heating. It would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to employ the temperature sensors and heating sources of Makower et al in the device of Makower, since these are equiavalents in the art, as taught by Makower et al, thus producing a devic such as claimed.

Claims 48-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Makower in combination with Makower et al as applied to claim 53-55, 57, and 58 above, and further in view of Swanson et al. Swanson et al teaches configuring energy emitters of platimum, stainless steel, and shape memory material. It would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to form the RF emitter as taught by Swanson et al, thus producing a device such as claimed.

Applicants arguments have been fully considered, but are drawn to the method of use, rather than the claimed strucuture. It is noted that there is nothing in the structure of the device of Makower which would prevent it from being used without penetrating the surface of the selected site. Regarding the claimed sensor location, attention is respectfully invited to figure 11 and the description thereof in the last two paragraphs of page 10 regarding the operation associated with needle 15', the needle is left in place during energy emission here the sensors are clearly interior to the energy delivering device comprising needle 15' and fiber optic 23'. It is also respectfully noted that even with regard to fiber optic 23" the thermocouples are discribed in the sensor of the device of the sensor of the device of the sensor of the sens

Art Unit: 3739

as mounted in cladding 38" emphasis added) and are thus considered interior to the energy emitter.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 45-73 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to David Shay at

telephone number (703) 308-2215.

David Shay:bhw January 24, 2001

DAVID M. SHAY PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 330